

the coalition for
baptist principles

Now the Lord is the Spirit, and where the Spirit of the Lord is, there is freedom. 2 Cor 3:17

2007 biennial address by Dr. Walter B. Shurden
“Three Sentences on Baptist Freedom” #
reprinted in its entirety beginning on page 2 ↗

baptist principles at the 2007 biennial in washington d.c.



the vienna four



tom mckibbens, molly marshall,
buddy & kay shurden



howard moody & molly marshall

convention cogitation

by Thomas R. McKibbens, Pastor, First Baptist Church, Worcester MA & Chair, BP Steering Committee

My initial thought regarding our meeting in Washington is one of gratitude. I am grateful that so many people will come out for a meeting that is scheduled so late! We had no choice, of course, about the late hour, and the delayed ending of the plenary session made it even later. But the spirit was good and the program was superb. I am grateful to all who chose to come to our meeting when it would have been much easier to kick back and relax after a long day. I am grateful for our musicians, Diane and James Gardner and Pam and Terry Donaldson, who called their group “The Vienna Four.” I am grateful especially for the hard work of Abigail Hastings, whose preparation for the gathering contributed greatly to its success.

I am deeply grateful to Molly Marshall and to Walter Shurden for their participation. Molly’s remarks upon receiving the Howard Moody Award hit just the right note of gratitude, humility, and humor. And I think most of you would agree with me that Walter Shurden’s address on soul liberty was one of the great high points of the entire biennial. I wish it could have been presented at a plenary session. Everyone needed to hear it! You can still purchase the CD of our meeting and

use that address for others in your church to hear. I plan to use it in an adult forum in the fall. It would also be superb for use with a new members’ class.

Gratitude was not the only emotion I felt at our meeting. I was also disappointed that the time ran out, and disappointed that we were interrupted by a bus driver. In response, I have asked the ABC powers-that-be to give us another time slot at the next biennial. Perhaps a breakfast meeting would work better for us. We also need time for business matters, including a full financial report. We can make financial reports in the newsletter, but that does not allow for questions and discussion.

Working with people who are committed to the principles of freedom that hold us together is a joy. Those committed to creed-centered approach to the faith were relatively quiet in Washington, but we can be sure that they will not remain so. Standing for Baptist principles always requires vigilance and courage. I wish that for us all.

Find out about the interesting work being done through **The Center for Baptist Studies** where Walter Shurden is Executive Director:
<http://www.centerforbaptiststudies.org>

THREE SENTENCES ON BAPTIST FREEDOM

✠ the 2007 baptist principles biennial address ✠
by Walter B. Shurden
Callaway Professor of Christianity,
Executive Director, The Center for Baptist Studies
Mercer University, Macon, GA

During the SBC controversy, while I was still on the faculty at Southern Seminary, a graduate student of mine, knowing how grieved I was about the fundamentalist takeover of the SBC, met me in Norton Hall and said, "I dreamed about you last night." He said, "I dreamed your old home place out in the country in Mississippi burned down, and we got there too late to save it; we could do nothing but watch it burn to the ground."

The SBC was my home for over three decades, but, for me, it burned to the ground, no doubt about it. The CBF is my contemporary home, no question about it. CBF is where Kay and I give our money and energy. But the ABC is my historical home, and there is no question about that either.

Who you are in the Baptist family is a matter of enormous joy to many of us who look at you from the outside. And I am especially glad to be honored to speak to a group at my historical home who has hurled the flag of Baptist freedom atop your masthead. So many thanks for the invitation.

My wife and I have been members of the First Baptist Church of Christ in Macon, GA for almost a quarter of a century. It, too, is very much "home" to us. Not long after we became members, I became interim pastor of our church, an interim that lasted over a year.

During that year, I led a series of Wednesday night sessions entitled "The Faith of our Friends." I invited individual clergy from other denominations each Wednesday evening to come to our church at the top of Poplar and tell us about their group and what makes them tick. So I invited the Methodists, Presbyterians, Episcopalians, all those churches in which many of our members had grandchildren. I don't know about the ABC, but one of the major contributions Baptists in my part of the world have made to the Kingdom is the way we have given up some of our best and brightest to other Christian groups.

I also invited the Catholic priest who worked across the street at the beautiful St. Joseph's Catholic Church. His name is John Cuddy. John Cuddy is one of those sweet, post Vatican II priests who took John XXIII seriously. Unlike some other Catholics, John Cuddy never tried to put the toothpaste back in the tube that Vatican II squirted out. He was and, though retired, he remains the most beloved minister of our town. Our Baptist people certainly appreciated his irenic spirit on that Wednesday night.

After he finished his brief presentation, Father Cuddy engaged the congregation in some Q and A. Eventually I was wrapping things up and I said, "Father Cuddy, we have asked you about the Catholics tonight,

but in closing I want to ask you about the Baptists. What is the very first thing that comes to your mind when you think about the Baptists?" He did not blink. He did not think. "Freedom," he said, tersely. I turned to the congregation and said, "Here is a Catholic priest who pays allegiance to the Pope, and he knows more about us than some of our Baptist kinfolk know."

And now, let me give you three brief sentences about Baptist freedom.

SENTENCE ONE: "FREEDOM" IS NOT A BAPTIST SYNONYM FOR RECKLESSNESS.

Let me repeat: Freedom is not a Baptist synonym for recklessness. Rather, "freedom" is the essential DNA of the Baptist organism.

I am sure there are exceptions, but I am very honest when I say to you that I don't know any Baptist who has lobbied for "freedom" who has also equated that freedom with irresponsibility.

The most progressive Baptists that I have ever known understand that there is a Statue of Responsibility beside the Statue of Liberty in the Baptist house.

We know that freedom *in* Christ mandates service *for* Christ. The best definition of church that I have ever heard is "All who love Christ in the service of all who suffer." Those of us who call for Baptist freedom know that.

So contrary to what some seem to allege, "Soul Freedom" is not a Baptist mantra of liberalism, drunk on self-indulgence. The entire theological spectrum, from fundamentalist to liberal and all that is between, requires freedom. Without it none - not one of us! - has a permanent place to stand.

So, "Freedom" is not a nasty little slogan designed to dodge serious discipleship. Freedom is the only path that leads to a serious following of the Carpenter. And that is what the voluntary nature of Baptist life is about! *Serious* discipleship! When Baptists called for believer's baptism, they wanted one thing: for every individual to have the freedom to take seriously following Jesus. That is why every baptismal pool in every Baptist church throughout the world is watery testimony to soul freedom.

Baptists have not shouted "freedom" to *escape* the will of God; they have treasured freedom so that they could *obey* the will of God.

Our Baptist ancestors and all who follow in their train did not embrace soul freedom to *denounce* the Bible as the Word of God; they caressed freedom so that they could *affirm* the Bible as the Word of God.

It never dawned on our ancestors to pit "soul freedom" against "biblical authority." They did recognize, however, that "soul freedom" could and would issue in diverse interpretations of the Bible.

So let's be clear. "Soul freedom" encourages diverse interpretations. It does not suggest in the least, however, that Baptists have no firm certainty regarding

the centrality of Holy Scripture. Our struggling forebears were as certain and dogmatic (and at times sarcastic and mean) about their views as the most fervent bishops in the Church of England. They were as certain and dogmatic as the most rigid Puritans of New England. However, there was a huge, huge difference! The theological dogmatism of the bishops and the Puritans led to coerced uniformity, while the spiritual convictions of Baptists led to voluntary diversity.

And that was a difference that made a difference!
It made a difference in how they read the Bible.
It made a difference in how they thought of church.
It made a difference in their attitude toward creeds.
*It made a difference in the role of the state
in matters of the soul.*

Soul Freedom issues into different interpretations!

In the long and ugly SBC war between the moderates and the fundamentalists, the fundamentalists claimed the high ground. They argued that the central issue in the conflict was the nature of the Bible as the Word of God. It was a clever strategy. It was a winning strategy. But it was never, ever reality. The conflict centered on many things, including Baptist polity, creedalism, the nature of theological education, and many other things. But it was not the centrality and importance of the Bible in our personal and communal lives. It was the diverse interpretation of the Bible that caused the conflict. And sometimes I think even the moderates didn't get it.

Several years into the controversy I was preaching in a church one Sunday. I did what I almost always do when I read the scripture of the morning. I held the Bible up before the people, and I said, "This is the Word of God and it is for us this morning." After the service a young seminarian, graduate of one of the moderate seminaries, came up to me with an accusation masked in a soft question: "Dr. Shurden, when you hold your Bible up like you did and say what you say about it, aren't you afraid that someone is going to think that you are an inerrantist?" I said, "I am not an inerrantist. I am more afraid that someone is going to think that I do not believe the Bible is the Word of God." My friends, you and I must not let others take the Bible away from us simply because we have different interpretations of the Bible.

I encourage you to go back and read Baptists' earliest cries for freedom. Read Thomas Helwys' 1612 *The Mystery of Iniquity* and John Clarke's 1652 *Ill Newes from New England* and Obadiah Holmes' 1675 *Last Will and Testament*. These Baptists, who spoke from the underside of life, did not use freedom to engage in a kind of navel-gazing individual freedom with no concern for the greater common good. They did not plead freedom to bypass the Bible. They agitated for freedom, they went to jail for freedom, they bled for freedom because they believed the Bible required it. They believed in the seventeenth century what you and I must believe in the twenty-first century: freedom of conscience is God's Will for creation!

"FREEDOM" IS NOT A BAPTIST SYNONYM FOR RECKLESSNESS.

SECOND SENTENCE: FREEDOM IS TERRIFYING.

Freedom terrifies! Freedom is even terrifying for those who lobby for it. Ask Martin Luther what kept him up at night for years. Ask your teenagers today. They wonder where and how far this new found liberty will lead. Freedom often plagues the freed one with self-doubt: Will I be able to handle this stuff? How do I negotiate my way through life with this dynamite in my hands? Freedom is terrifying. It is so terrifying that some Baptists yield up one of their most precious rights of all: the right to the Bible for themselves. They get intimidated by louder and more certain voices.

But freedom is also terrifying for those who have to give it up! Ask the Catholic Church of Luther's time. Ask any mother or father of teenagers. Freedom-giving is an act of unmitigated courage and uncommon trust.

Read the early criticisms of Baptists. The adversaries viewed Baptists as theological and ecclesiastical terrorists because of the Baptist emphasis on freedom. In 1680 John Russel, second pastor of the First Baptist Church of Boston, fired off an apologetic for Baptists. Russel had to defend Baptists against what he called "scandalous things"--being schismatics, embracing immoral persons, disturbing the peace, undermining true churches, neglecting public worship, engaging in idolatry, and acting subversive of civil government.

These stubborn, cussed ancestors of ours deliberately, premeditatedly broke laws. Baptists got into civil disobedience long before the 1960s. The Puritans viewed our folks as subversives of both church and state. The Baptists of Boston responded: "what we have done is not in rebellion nor transgression to turn from following the Lord or worshipping him . . . , but that we may with more freedom of spirit worship the Lord together in purity." Freedom of spirit! They needed it in the seventeenth century and we need it the twenty-first century.

Freedom is terrifying. And freedom is terrifying because freedom means change. And change, what the New Testament calls "metanoia," that's some of the hardest work of all. Is there any more wrenching work in the whole wide world? Isn't that the work that really makes us sweat? Have you seen that T-Shirt? In bold letters on the front are the words: CHANGE IS GOOD! Then below it in very tiny letters are the words: "you go first." Remember that when you ask others to change. Remember that when you are going through personal change.

Molly Marshall reminded us at Green Lake one night a few years back that we have too often equated the Holy Spirit with tranquility and peace. We too soon want to sing "there's a sweet, sweet spirit in this place," rather than "Onward Christian Soldiers." But we forget at our peril. At times Jesus disturbed. He divided. He angered. But he never shut anyone out, not even his

fiercest critics. He bristled at those who did, even his own disciples who hacked off the ear of an enemy. In Scripture the Spirit of God is depicted not as calming water but as scorching Fire, Thunder, and Lightening!

Take conflict and struggle and trouble out of our history and you don't have much left. It is altogether possible to read biblical history, Christian history, and Baptist history and to conclude that some of the biggest conflicts in those stories were the nudgings of God's Spirit.¹

Freedom is a heady wine. One must pour it carefully.

FREEDOM IS TERRIFYING.

**SENTENCE THREE:
WE CAN NEVER, EVER LET UP ON OUR WORK
FOR BAPTIST FREEDOM.**

We must work hard to show to all, Baptist and non-Baptist, that Baptist freedom is not a cover up for theological and moral recklessness. We must work hard to dispel the fear of freedom from those who are most frightened by its sound and by its challenge. But even when Baptist freedom is misunderstood and even when freedom frightens, we must never, ever let up in our work for Baptist freedom.

Somewhere about the year AD 409, Alaric and his Visigoths parked themselves at the gates of Rome. In his masterful retelling of that story in *How The Irish Saved Civilization*, Thomas Cahill described the Romans' arrogant and contemptuous attitude toward Alaric: "He might as well have been," said Cahill, "the king of the Fuzzy-Wuzzies, or any other inconsequential outlanders that civilized people have looked down their noses at throughout history." The Romans, supremely confident of handling this nuisance, dispatched a couple of envoys to conduct the tiresome negotiations with Alaric and rid themselves of these smelly barbarians.

The Roman envoys played poker with empty bluffs. They tried to intimidate. "The invincible strength of Rome's warriors will doom any of your misguided attacks," warned the Romans. But Alaric the Barbarian, a humorous as well as a sharp man, responded gleefully, "The thicker the grass, the more easily scythed."

Recognizing now that they had no fool on their hands, the Romans asked finally, and in desperation, what was Alaric's price of departure.

Alaric answered somewhat matter-of-factly: his men would sweep through the city of Rome, take all the gold, all the silver, and everything of value that could be moved. They would also take with them every barbarian slave in Rome's custody.

The Romans protested hysterically. But through their now anxiety-ridden laughter and feigned anger, the Romans asked Alaric, "But what will that leave us?"

Alaric paused. "Your lives," he said.

After years of hand-to-hand combat with our fundamentalist sisters and brothers (mostly brothers!), we moderate Southern Baptists went to Atlanta on a very hot 23rd of August in 1990 and launched a new

movement. We call it, as you know, CBF. I wish I had time to tell you why I hope nothing like that ever happens to you folks in the ABC.

We went to Atlanta, but, truth be told, we went *only with our lives*. The denomination was in the process of being carted off by folks with an attitude, an attitude of intolerance and narrowness that had been standing at the gates of the SBC, not simply since 1979 but, as Luther Copeland said, in one of the best Baptist books ever written, since 1845.² But then, when American culture began to change dramatically around 1980, the attitude began to strut triumphantly and somewhat haughtily over both culture and Caesar and Christ. The attitude swept through Nashville and the SBC and co-opted the 150 year history of the Southern Baptist Convention.

And so they walked off with all the gold and the silver, the six seminaries and the mission boards and Sunday School Board and the Christian Life Commission and everything that was of value at the national denominational level. We got out . . . with our lives . . . and some good colleges and universities and a couple of state conventions. But mostly, we got out with our lives.

And one other thing. We got out, and I do not blush to say it, with the Baptist convictions. They forgot to take the heritage with them. Or else they did not want it. And here, my friends, is where your organization comes in.

I have no idea what will happen to the ABC in the future. God knows that I wish for you good things. But I have no idea what shape it will take tomorrow. Or who will be in charge. Or what point of view on soul freedom, biblical authority, homosexuality and a host of other issues will prevail. But the most important thing is to hang on to the principles that shaped you, to the core Baptist ideas that you outline in *The Judson Declaration* (<http://www.baptistprinciples.org/declaration.htm>) and that have buoyed Baptists for 400 years. They are worth more than denominational gold and silver.

Never, ever let up on your work for Baptist freedom.

¹ Think of biblical history and the conflict among the Jews concerning entrance into the Promised Land. Only two, Joshua and Caleb, wanted to go; most did not. Think of the debate, so clearly evident in Scripture over the establishment of a monarchy. Some embraced the idea; others resisted. And think of the conflict between the prophetic critique of the Temple and the priestly defense of it. Think of the New Testament period and that critical 15th chapter of the book of Acts, and the story of the breaking down of racial and ethnic barriers. Think of Christian history and the critical sixteenth century when unspeakable conflict led to the emergence of Protestantism and even to the renewal of Catholicism. Think of Baptist history and that historic day when young William Carey, pleading with Baptists to get the entire world on their hearts, heard the command from one of his elders to sit down because God could do his work without human assistance and Carey's missionary cause.

² See E. Luther Copeland, *The Southern Baptist Convention and the Judgment of History: The Taint of an Original Sin*, University Press of America, 1995.

back to the future?

Ashley C. Smith

Associate Minister, First Baptist Church of Pittsfield, MA
General Board, Class of 2007

Or should that be *forward to the past?*

At the June 24-28, 2007 General Board meetings held at Shiloh Baptist and Calvary Baptist Churches in Washington, DC, denominational leadership and General Board Representatives spent significant time addressing proposals related to the Mission Center property at Valley Forge and the future shape of the denomination. If you're pressed for time and just want to know the basic outcomes of the discussions, here they are:

- We're hoping to keep the Mission Center.
- In restructuring, we may move toward something that looks more like the pre-SCOR & SCODS association of mission boards, regions, and affiliated organizations.

For a few more details, keep reading.

After November 2006, when the General Board agreed to the selling of the Mission Center, the four major corporate entities of the denomination – the Office of the General Secretary, International Ministries, National Ministries and MMBB – began talking about entering into a partnership to purchase the property jointly. Currently the property belongs to the corporate entity The American Baptist Churches, USA which is staffed by the Office of the General Secretary (OGS). Other ABCUSA-related bodies with office space in the Mission Center have been leasing the space from OGS. If OGS, IM, NM and MMBB enter into a partnership and purchase the Mission Center, they will share in ownership. This is estimated to be both a cost-effective move for now and a way of allowing the various offices – including some of the Affiliated Mission Organizations (AMOs) – to keep “living” and working together as we work toward shaping the future of the denomination as a whole. The action taken by the General Board was to authorize OGS to enter into a partnership with IM, NM and MMBB for this purpose; the Boards of International Ministries and of National Ministries likewise authorized IM and NM to enter into such a partnership. The MMBB Board's meeting was scheduled for a time after the June dates; if the MMBB Board agrees, then a partnership could be formed and the process of attempting jointly to purchase the Mission Center could begin.

If you've held on thus far, you probably realize anew that, rather than being one large, monolithic entity that operates top-down, the ABCUSA is actually a conglomeration of many organizations, corporations, entities and bodies. Sometimes yielding to the temptation of crying for “a king to govern us like the nations,” we American Baptists have gotten ourselves into trouble by trying to operate like a monolithic entity. It seldom, if ever, works, but we sure cause a lot of stress and strife when we try to operate that way. Recently, this stress and strife contributed to precipitating a financial crisis that put the future of the denomination in jeopardy. Responding to this crisis, the General Executive Council put together a writing team to envision new ways of structuring ourselves as a denomination. An “emerging proposal” for restructuring was presented to the General Board for comments, questions and feedback.

The emerging proposal for a new structure seems to want to clarify our denominational identity as a voluntary grouping of various missional bodies – national boards, AMOs, and regions (think loosely of the tribal confederacy organized at Shechem). This voluntary grouping would come together at the “ABC Missional Table” to work together for common mission and ministry, but, as things appear to read, it would not empower any one part of the body to determine the independent life of any other.

Some of the proposed features of this structure:

- The General Board would be renamed the **Board of General Ministries** and would be staffed by the Office of General Ministries (currently Office of the General Secretary).

- Regional and national entities would have **autonomous Boards of Directors** and “inter-locking” Boards of Directors would be eliminated for national entities. (For instance: currently, General Board Representatives also compose the membership of the national Boards – IM, NM or MMBB; under the proposed structure, the Boards of General Ministries, International Ministries, National Ministries and MMBB would have separate membership. A desired outcome would be that the Boards would be able to seek members with special skill sets, interests and passions to best further the work of the Boards.)

- A **“Leader Development Pool”** comprised of people from throughout the denomination would be maintained as a source Boards could draw upon for membership.
- Staff from the regional entities and the national entities would make up a large staff body called the **National Staff Leadership Council (NSLC)**.
- The **Biennial gatherings would continue**; one proposed model would have delegates at the Biennial electing representatives to serve at the ABC Missional Table.
- The **ABC Missional Table** would be made up of representatives from the National Staff Leadership Council and, possibly, those elected by the Biennial gathering. The Office of General Ministries would serve as staff for the ABC Missional Table. At the Table, leaders and representatives from all ABC entities would relate and collaborate and then offer feedback and direction to the regional and national entities. The actions taken at the Table would be reported back to the Biennial gathering.

As this proposed structure is definitely a work in progress, there are still many things to be clarified. Among them:

- How, specifically, will the local church be represented in the new structure?
- What is the exact purpose of the ABC Missional Table and what would be the extents of and limits on its authority? Are we clear that we know and agree on what we think the Table would be and how it would operate?
- What measures could be put in place to continue and further diversity of representation in all of our denominational entities?
- How will participation of the national representatives to the Missional Table be funded?

A timeline for consideration of the restructuring proposal includes feedback from ABC focus groups and churches this summer; revised work on the model this fall with feedback from the General Board; attorney review of the model for bylaw implications; a proposed ABC local church census; consideration of the model and a first reading of bylaw changes by the General Board in June 2008; further refinement as needed; second reading and vote on bylaw changes by the General Board in November 2008; mailing to Biennial delegates in spring of 2009; Biennial delegate vote on new bylaws and standing rules in Pasadena in June 2009.

What can you do to be part of the process over the next two years?

1. **Ask questions** of your Regional Executive Minister *and* your representatives to the General Board to learn more and gain clarification and then **offer your input**. Do this now, and do this after the meetings in June and November of 2008.
2. **Ask your Regional Executive Minister** how s/he understands and will implement the covenant agreed to by the General Executive Council (GEC) “to give due consideration to all ABC Policy Statements and Resolutions in recommending persons to serve at denominational levels.”
3. **Call Valley Forge** and **ask questions** about restructuring of national leadership.
4. If there are any opportunities in your region, association, Minister's Council or local church to **learn more** and **offer feedback**, get active, take part and DO SO! In fact, insist that your local entities **create opportunities to explore these issues** so that you can all take part in the decisions.
5. If there is a local church census of the proposal, **TAKE PART!** (Have you picked up on the *be involved* theme yet?)
6. **Nominate** someone you think would be valuable to the process to serve on your Regional or the General Board, or ask someone to nominate you (or nominate yourself!) for service. The

ABCUSA is a representative democracy, which means we elect representatives to take action on behalf of our organizational entities – taking part in the process makes a difference! For nomination forms, go to <http://www.abc-usa.org/documents/gbforms.pdf>.

7. **Plan now to be a delegate** to Biennial Gathering in June 2009 in Pasadena, CA. This is the time when bylaw and standing rules changes that actually restructure the denomination will be voted on. Go – and even though it's tempting – **DON'T SKIP THE BUSINESS MEETING TO GO TO THE BEACH!**

As always in contemplating denominational matters, I come back to one fundamental question. What does it mean, *at root*, to be an American Baptist? Is this defined by me? By the local church to which I belong? By my Association? My Region? By the General Board, or a mission Board to whose work I am particularly drawn? By a combination of all of these? By our denominational history, by our current mission, or by our proposals for the future? And, once I think / know what it means, how do I interact with, work with, serve with, and, in Christ, lovingly exist with those whose answers are different from mine? Questions I'll be pondering over the next two years; you think about them too, and we'll share our thoughts in Pasadena!

bp financial health . . .

Thanks to all who contributed to our most unusual offering gathering at biennial. In spite of the "giving on the go" aspect of it, we collected **\$737** for the work of the coalition. Our post-biennial account and current balance is **\$7979**. A full financial statement will be printed in our year end newsletter.

visit us online . . .

www.baptistprinciples.org

where you'll find more pictures from our biennial gathering & links to past newsletters & other items of interest

⊕

in the next newsletter: reflections on restructuring – what models best express what it means to be Baptist?

Let us know what you think!

audio copies of Dr. Shurden's biennial address

are available through Dove Cassettes

www.dovecassettes.com

or write to:

dove cassettes

6318 Lamy Street NW

Albuquerque, New Mexico 87120

the coalition for baptist principles

c/o abigail hastings

548 broadway #4H

new york, ny 10012-3909

address correction requested